

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/675,806	Applicant(s) WEDEL ET AL.	
	Examiner Amine Riad	Art Unit 2113	

All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>
(1) <u>Amine Riad.</u>	(3) _____.
(2) <u>Jeffrey Berkowitz.</u>	(4) _____.
Date of Interview: <u>21 February 2006</u>	Time: <u>9:00 and 17:00</u>

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)
 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.
 Rejection(s) discussed:
No rejection was discussed.
 Claims discussed:
Claim 16, and claim 18.
 Prior art documents discussed:
No prior art was discussed.

Part II.
 SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

_____ (Examiner/SPE Signature)	_____ (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)
-----------------------------------	--

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant needs to change the dependency of claim 16 from claim 16 to claim 1.
Applicant needs to include in claim 18 the necessary physical article to constitute a system within the meaning of § 101. Examiner suggested to include "a client device" as a physical element.